

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/029,914	ELONEN ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Greg Bengzon	2144	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Greg Bengzon.

(3) Roland Long, 41949.

(2) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 October 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 5-7

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 WILLIAM VAUGHN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Bengzon requested authorization for Examiner's amendment regarding Claims 5-7, which currently indicate improper dependency on cancelled Claim 3. The Claims should be amended to indicate dependency on Claim 1, in order to be in condition for allowance. Mr. Long has agreed to said Claim dependencies for Claims 5-7 and granted authority for Examiner's amendment..